

# Surgical management of endometrioma for ovarian safety

Daniela Mele<sup>1</sup>, Pasquale De Franciscis<sup>1</sup>, Cosma Cosenza<sup>1</sup>, Gaetano Riemma<sup>1</sup>, Maria Diletta D'Eufemia<sup>1</sup>, Maria Teresa Schettino<sup>1</sup>, Maddalena Morlando<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Schiattarella<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Woman, Child and General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy

#### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The effect of laparoscopic surgery for endometrioma on ovarian function is debated and controversial. The aim of the study was to address the impact of preoperative parameters and surgical technique for the removal of endometrioma on the ovarian reserve.

**Methods:** This was a retrospective cohort study of outpatient women referred to the Fertility Center of the University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" in Naples undergoing laparoscopy for enucleation of endometriotic cysts. The evaluation of the ovarian reserve was performed before the intervention and at the third month after the intervention by the count of antral follicles (AFC), FSH and AMH dosage. Surgery was performed by operative laparoscopy by stripping technique and ablation. Hemostasis was performed with two kinds of bipolar forceps, according to the feasibility of self-regulating coagulation.

**Results:** 46 patients were analyzed. The data showed a greater impact of the surgical technique on the ovarian reserve of patients in advanced reproductive age or with a recurrent endometrioma (<0.05). Patients undergone surgery with the use of forceps without self-regulation showed a statistically significant impairment (p<0.05) of AFC, FSH, and AMIH.

**Conclusions:** Our data showed equal hemostatic effectiveness for the two forceps, but a lower impact on the ovarian reserve with the one with self-regulating coagulation (p<0.05). However, more studies with a longer follow-up period are required to clarify the fertility outcome better.

Keywords: : endometriosis; endometrioma; fertilization in vitro; infertility; bipolar forceps; laparoscopy; AFC; FSH; AMH

# **INTRODUCTION**

Endometriosis is defined as endometrial tissue outside the uterine cavity and is considered as a chronic recurring disease. Its prevalence in infertile women is relevant and is estimated to be between

Corresponding Author: Antonio Schiattarella aschiattarella@gmail.com Copyright 2019, Partner-Graf srl, Prato DOI: 10.14660/2385-0868-124

#### SOMMARIO

**Obiettivo:** L'effetto della chirurgia laparoscopica per endometrioma sulla funzione ovarica rappresenta ancora un tema dibattuto e controverso. Lo scopo dello studio è valutare l'impatto di parametri preoperatorio e tecnica chirurgica per la rimozione dell'endometrioma sulla funzione ovarica.

**Metodi:** È stato svolto uno studio retrospettivo di coorte su pazienti afferite al Centro di Fertilità dell'Università della Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" di Napoli (Italia) candidate alla laparoscopia per l'enucleazione di cisti endometriosiche. La valutazione della riserva ovarica è stata eseguita prima dell'intervento e al terzo mese dopo l'intervento attraverso la conta dei follicoli antrali (AFC), il dosaggio di FSH e di AMH. L'intervento è stato eseguito mediante laparoscopia operativa con tecnica stripping e ablazione. L'emostasi è stata eseguita con due tipi di pinze bipolari: una con la possibilità di autoregolazione ed un'altra senza.

**Risultati:** 46 pazienti sono stati analizzate. I dati hanno mostrato un maggiore impatto dell'intervento sulla riserva ovarica nelle pazienti in età riproduttiva avanzata o con recidiva dell'endometrioma (p<0.05). I pazienti sottoposti a chirurgia con l'uso di una pinza senza autoregolazione hanno mostrato una differenza statisticamente significativa (p<0.05) di AFC, FSH e AMH.

**Conclusioni:** I nostri dati hanno mostrato un'efficacia emostatica uguale per le due pinze, ma un impatto minore sulla riserva ovarica con quella con autoregolazione (p<0.05). Tuttavia, sono necessari più studi con un periodo di follow-up più lungo per chiarire meglio il risultato sull'outcome riproduttivo.

20 and 50 %<sup>(1-2)</sup>. The most common symptom of endometriosis is long-term pelvic pain [3]. However, the pathogenesis of endometriosis is widely accepted as multifactorial<sup>(4-7)</sup>. About 17–44% of women with endometriosis will have an endometrioma, a cystic structure located on the outer wall of the ovary. One ovary is usually involved, while bilateral localization is less frequent and is present in about 50% of patients<sup>(8)</sup>. However, the pathogenesis of endometrioma is controversial, and three main theories have been proposed to explain its origin: invagination of ovarian cortex secondary to bleeding of superficial implants, invagination of the ovarian cortex secondary to metaplasia of coelomic epithelium in cortical inclusion cysts and endometriotic transformation of functional cysts<sup>(9-12)</sup>. The effect of the endometrioma on women's fertility is still debated and controversial, although severe endometriosis is associated with reduced fertility outcome<sup>(13-14)</sup>. However, Coelho et al. hypothesized that the ovarian reserve acts as a major factor to predict fertility outcomes than endometriosis alone<sup>(15)</sup>. The diagnosis of endometrioma is achieved by a rectovaginal pelvic examination, a transvaginal ultrasound examination and, above all, a laparoscopic evaluation combined with histopathological confirmation<sup>(16-18)</sup>. A clinical history of chronic pelvic pain could help for diagnosis. Instead, to predict ovarian reserve, before an intervention, AFC and AMH levels are commonly used<sup>(19-20)</sup>. The indications for surgical therapy should be personalized in relation to the age of woman and impact of the disease on the quality of life and currently are represented by the presence of debilitating pain symptoms, infertility, cysts with a diameter greater than 4 cm even in the absence of relevant symptoms<sup>(21)</sup>. The surgical approach is represented by operative laparoscopy, and the most effective surgical technique is ovarian cystectomy using the stripping technique and ablation<sup>(22)</sup>. However, some studies concerned about the negative impact of cystectomy on fertility and anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) level<sup>(22-25)</sup>. Nevertheless, ovarian cystectomy has been found to be superior to ablation regarding recurrence of endometrioma, pain symptoms, and reproductive outcomes rate on subfertile patients<sup>(26-27)</sup>. Moreover, researches are inconclusive about which surgical method is the less harmful to the ovarian reserve in the long term<sup>(28-30)</sup>. The aim of our study is to assess the impact on the ovarian reserve of preoperative parameters and surgical technique for the removal of endometrioma.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective cohort study of outpatient women referred to the Fertility Center of the University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" in Naples (Italy) and undergoing laparoscopy for enucleation of endometriotic cysts. Local Institutional Review Board approved the study. All participants before enrollment signed a comprehensive written consent form. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. The inclusion criteria were: age between 18 and 40 years, regular menstrual cycle, size of the cyst> 4 cm in diameter, negative history of hormonal therapy in the 6 months before surgery. Two gynecologists performed the ultrasound examinations with the Voluson 730 Expert GE ultrasound machine using a transvaginal probe (3.3-10.0 MHz). The evaluation of the ovarian reserve was performed before the intervention and in the third month after the intervention by:

- Count of antral follicles (AFC) or follicles that appear at transvaginal ultrasound with a diameter between 2 and 10 mm on the II/III day of the menstrual cycle; the reference values for reduced ovarian reserve were less or equal than six as the sum of the antral follicles present on both ovaries.

- FSH (mU/ml) and AMH (ng/ml) dosage by blood sampling on the II-III day of the cycle. Reference values for reduced ovarian reserve were above 16 mU/ml for FSH and below 1ng/ml for AMH.

Laparoscopic surgery, performed by two gynecologists specialized in endometriosis, was planned in the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle. The laparoscopic surgical technique was as follows: the ovarian cyst was mobilized, and the content aspirated. The cystic wall was stripped and, after identification of the cleavage plane, was separated from the residual ovarian parenchyma by tractions exerted in opposite directions with two traumatic forceps, such as "Manhes". After the incision of the cystic wall, hemostasis was performed with bipolar forceps. The passage of current necessary for hemostasis is strictly limited to the area of tissue between the two branches reducing the damage to the surrounding ovarian tissue. For this purpose, for every procedure, we used one of the following forceps between:

- Robi Kelly grasping forceps, Clermont-Ferrand model (Karl Storz), size 3.5 mm, length 360 mm, with a 360° adjustable stem capable of coagulation, grasping and dissecting, connected to Ergo VAIO electrosurgical units 300 and pre-set for bipolar coagulation with 60 Watt effect 1, modifiable according to the needs of the operator but without the possibility of self-regulation;

- BiClamp LAP forceps Maryland (Erbe), semideep ribbed, shaft 5 mm, non-adhesive coating, length 340 mm, connected to Ergo VAIO 200 electrosurgical units and capable of automatically measuring the impedance of the tissue to which it is applied and therefore of supplying the lowest wattage necessary for hemostasis.

Histological examination of tissue removed was performed for every patient. Data were shown as means  $\pm$  standard deviation. Comparisons between the two groups were assessed with Student's t-test. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The study was performed according to the strengthening the reporting of the observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines <sup>(31)</sup>.

### RESULTS

Data for 48 women who underwent endometrioma excision were analyzed. Two patients were excluded: 1 was lost to follow up, and 1 was no data recorded. The main characteristics of the patients are shown in **Table 1**.

#### Table 1

Main characteristics of the patients

Main characteristics of the patients (n=46)					
Mean ± SD or percentage					
Age (years)	33 ± 4.6				
BMI (kg/m2)	23.5 ± 3.8				
Ethnicity	Caucasian (100%)				
Diameter of the endometrioma excised (mm)	53.2 ± 5.7				
Laterality of endometrioma-excised ovary	Left: 22 (47.8 %) Right: 14 (30.4 %) Bilateral: 10 (21.8 %)				
History of previous intervention on endometrioma	First intervention: 36 (78.3%) Recurrent intervention: 10 (21.7%)				
Main ovarian values before intervention:					
AFC	7.9 ± 2.1				
FSH (mU/ml)	8.5 ± 2.6				
AMH (ng/ml)	1.9±1.3				

In all cases, the intervention was decisive for the complete excision of the endometriotic tissue adjacent to the ovary. No surgical or post-surgical complications occurred. For each sample, the anatomopathological diagnosis was consistent with the clinical and ultrasound diagnosis. The patients were divided into four different comparison groups based on the following criteria: age less than or equal to 35 years old vs. over 35, first intervention vs. recurrence, monolateral cysts vs. bilateral cysts, diathermocoagulation with classic bipolar forceps Robi Kelly vs. BiClamp LAP forceps Maryland.

In the first group we made a comparison

between patients aged less than or equal to 35 and those with a higher age: the data showed a greater impact of the surgical technique on the ovarian reserve of patients in advanced reproductive age. In fact, AFC and FSH values showed a statistically significant difference (<0.05) before and after the intervention (6.2±2.0 vs. 3.3±1.0 and 9.6±1.6 vs. 14.5±4.2, respectively). On the contrary, under the age of 35, the reduction in ovarian function after surgery is not statistically significant, with values of AFC, FSH, and AMH, even if reduced, however within the limits (8.3±2.3 vs. 7.1±2.7; 6.8±2.5 vs. 7.3±3.1; 2.1±1.0 vs. 1.8±1.0, respectively). Data are shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2	
Ovarian function according to age	

	Patients aged less than or equal to 35 (n=28)			Patients aged more than 35 (n=18)			
	pre-intervention	post-intervention P-value		pre-intervention	post-intervention	P-value	
AFC	8.3±2.3	7.1±2.7	ns	6.2±2.0	3.3±1.0	< 0.05	
FSH (mU/ml)	6.8±2.5	7.3±3.1	ns	9.6±1.6	14.5±4.2	<0.05	
AMH (ng/ml)	2.1±1.0	1.8±1.0	ns	1.5±1.0	1.0±0.8	ns	

In most cases the endometrioma is presented in the unilateral form: among our patients, 22 (47.9 %) presented endometrioma in the left ovary, 14 (30.4 %) in the right one and 10 (21.7%) had bilateral endometrioma. In fact, in the second group, we made a comparison between the removal of unilateral cyst vs. bilateral cysts. In the case of patients suffering from bilateral endometrioma, AFC and AMH values were lower in the pre-intervention phase than patients with unilateral endometrioma. Otherwise, in patients with unilateral endometrioma, we observed a good ovarian response to surgical therapy in terms of preservation of function: AFC pre-intervention vs. post-intervention (7.2 $\pm$ 2.6 vs. 6.0 $\pm$ 3.5) was not statistically significant. FSH and AMH pre-intervention vs. post-intervention were not statistically significant (7.5 $\pm$ 2.5 vs. 8.5 $\pm$ 5.3 and 1.9 $\pm$ 0.9 vs. 1.6 $\pm$ 1.0) too. Data are shown in **Table 3**.

Table 3

Ovarian	function	according	to the	numhers	of si	iroeru	for en	Iometrioma
Oburun	junction	uccoruing	10 1116	nunivers	טן או	ingery	101 611	10111011101111

	Patients with unilateral endometrioma (n=36)			Patients with bilateral endometrioma (n=10)			
	pre-intervention	post-intervention P-value		pre-intervention	post-intervention	P-value	
AFC	7.2±2.6	6.0±3.5	ns	6.6±2.3	4.4±2.5	ns	
FSH (mU/ml)	7.5±2.5	8.5±5.3	ns	9.9±1.7	12.1±3.2	ns	
AMH (ng/ml)	1.9±0.9	1.6±1.0	ns	1.6±1.3	1.0±1.3	ns	

In the third group, we made a comparison between first intervention for endometrioma vs. intervention for recurrence. Our data showed a decidedly greater reduction in the ovarian reserve in patients after the second endometrioma excision operation: AFC and AMH values were particularly compromised  $(6.5\pm1.7 \text{ vs. } 3.5\pm1.2 \text{ and } 1.2\pm0.4 \text{ vs. } 0.5\pm0.4)$  and the difference is statistically significant (p<0.05). Also, FSH values before and after reoperation showed a statistically significant difference (9.2±1.6 vs. 12.1±3.5) (p<0.05). Data are shown in **Table 4**.

#### Table 4

Ovarian function according to the numbers of surgery for endometrioma

	Patients with a negative history of previous intervention (n=36)			Patients after the second endometrioma excision operation (n=10)		
	pre-intervention	post-intervention	P-value	pre-intervention	P-value	
AFC	7.5±2.5	5.8±3.1	ns	6.5±1.7	3.5±1.2	< 0.05
FSH (mU/ml)	7.7±2.6	9.0±3.2	ns	9.2±1.6	12.1±3.5	<0.05
AMH (ng/ml)	2.0±1.0	1.6±1.1	ns	1.2±0.4	0.5±0.4	<0.05

In the fourth group, we made a comparison between patients undergone surgery with classic forceps (without autoregulation) vs. patient operated with Maryland clamp forceps (with self-regulation). Our data showed an equal hemostatic efficacy for the two instruments, but a lower impact on the ovarian reserve for the Maryland forceps: AFC, FSH, and AMH values before and after surgery, in fact, did not show a statistically significant difference (8.8±2.2 vs. 7.5±2.0, 7.2±2.3 vs. 7.6±3.0 and 2.2±0.8 vs. 2.0±0.7, respectively). Patients who underwent surgery with the use of classic/traditional bipolar showed, instead, a statistically significant variation (p<0.05) of AFC before the intervention and after the intervention ( $6.6\pm2.2$  vs.  $4.3\pm2.9$ ). Also, FSH and AMH values were particularly compromised ( $8.4\pm2.6$  vs.  $11.3\pm5.4$ ,  $1.6\pm1.1$  vs.  $1.0\pm1.1$ ) (p<0.05). Otherwise, AMH values, after the intervention, showed a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the two groups while, before the intervention, did not show a statistically significant variation between them. Data are shown in **Table 5**.

	classic/traditional bipolar (n = 30)			Mary	and clamp (n=16)	
	pre-intervention	post-intervention	P-value	pre-intervention	post-intervention	P-value
AFC	6.6±2.2	4.3±2.9	<0.05	8.8±2.2	7.5±2.0	ns
FSH (mU/ml)	8.4±2.6	11.3±5.4	<0.05	7.2±2.3	7.6±3.0	ns
AMH (ng/ml)	1.6±1.1	1.0±1.1	<0.05	2.2±0.8	2.0±0.7	ns

 Table 5

 Ovarian function according to the kind of bipolar forcep

## DISCUSSION

The effect of the endometrioma on women's fertility is still debated and controversial, and the approach in the reproductive age patient should be conservative<sup>(2,32-35)</sup>. Since the surgical removal of the endometrioma capsule is potentially associated with damage to the ovarian function, and therefore can further negatively impact the ovarian reserve of these patients, it is essential to evaluate the factors that may affect the outcome of surgical therapy in the risk terms for residual ovarian function<sup>(21,36)</sup>. Our study has highlighted the importance of the age of the patient as a predictor of postoperative ovarian function: patients under the age of 35, in fact, responded much better to surgical therapy and ovarian reserve seems less damaged by surgery than in older patients. This is in line with the evidence that the ovary is involved in a physiological aging process after the age of 35 and therefore more susceptible to damage in case of invasive therapies<sup>(37-39)</sup>. The bilaterality of the cyst or in any case the recurrence had a significant impact on the post-surgical ovarian reserve, reducing the possibility of successful IVF techniques. On the contrary, when the cyst is unilateral or in cases when is the first diagnosis of endometrioma, the ovarian reserve appeared less affected by the intervention. As proposed by other Authors, it is conceivable that the contralateral ovary could partially compensate the anatomo-functional damage suffered by the other ovary and, although the ovarian reserve is worse, it is not to be considered completely compromised<sup>(40-41)</sup>. Instead, in the case of patients suffering from bilateral endometrioma, the ovarian reserve appeared from the pre-intervention basal control compromised by the presence of cysts and further damaged by the surgical insult. It is therefore desirable in these cases, as in relapses of endometrioma, an accurate evaluation and counseling of patients about the risks and

benefits of the intervention, concerning the potential ovarian damage connected and the possibility of bilateral early ovarian failure<sup>(42-43)</sup>. Several studies have been conducted to investigate the causes of frequent recurrences of the endometrioma: it had been seen that they are mostly related to the surgical technique, greater for the fenestration vs. excision of the cyst, and to the experience of the surgeon<sup>(44-45)</sup>. However, our data showed a decidedly greater reduction in the ovarian reserve in patients undergoing surgery for recurrence of endometrioma: AFC and AMH values were particularly compromised, parameters that are directly related to each other. In any case, even for this type of patients with recurrent endometrioma, accurate counseling is necessary in relation to two fundamental parameters: the risk faced by the patient in terms of significant reduction of ovarian function, up to an early menopause and, on the other hand, the possible presence of malignant degeneration which in any case cannot be excluded except by anatomo-pathological examination<sup>(43,46-48)</sup>. As is known, technological evolution has led to the development of more efficient instruments, on the one hand, in terms of coagulation effect and, on the other, less invasive for the distance thermal effect. Our data showed an equal hemostatic efficacy for the two instruments, but a lower impact on the ovarian reserve for the Maryland forceps (p<0.05). Moreover, while our data were consistent with those reported in the literature about the post-intervention ovarian reserve regarding the first three groups of patients, for the latter group IV our study was innovative in comparing patients and their ovarian response based on a parameter that had not been taken into account so far<sup>(49-50)</sup>. However, this study had some limitations. The choice of classic bipolar forceps as an alternative to BiCision did not consider the variables that

distinguished the various groups. Furthermore, this was a retrospective analysis, and we did not consider the long-term effects on fertility outcome. In conclusion, preoperative ovarian reserve status should be studied in women performing ovarian cystectomy, especially if in fertile age. However, more studies with a more extended follow-up period are required to understand the effects of different methods of hemostasis on the ovarian reserve and also on fertility outcome.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# REFERENCES

1) Balasch J, Creus M, Fabregues F, Carmona F, Ordi J, Martinez-Roman S, et al. Visible and non-visible endometriosis at laparoscopy in fertile and infertile women and in patients with chronic pelvic pain: a prospective study. Hum Reprod. 1996;11:387–91.

2) Meuleman C, Vandenabeele B, Fieuws S, Spiessens C, Timmerman D, D'Hooghe T. **High prevalence of endometriosis in infertile women with normal ovulation and normospermic partners.** Fertil Steril. 2009; 92:68–74.

3) Mehedintu C, Plotogea MN, Ionescu S, Antonovici M. **Endometriosis still a challenge.** J Med Life. 2014 Sep 15;7(3):349-57.

4) Vercellini P, Viganò P, Somigliana E, Fedele L. **Endometriosis: pathogenesis and treatment.** Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2014 May;10(5):261-75.

5) Campitiello MR, De Franciscis P, Mele D, Izzo G, Sinisi A, Delrio G, Colacurci N. Endometrial LGR7 expression during menstrual cycle. Fertil Steril. 2011 Jun 30;95(8):2511-4.

6) Laganà AS, Vitale SG, Salmeri FM, Triolo O, Ban Frangež H, Vrtačnik-Bokal E et al. **Unus pro omnibus, omnes pro uno: A novel, evidence-based, unifying theory for the pathogenesis of endometriosis.** Med Hypotheses. 2017 Jun;103:10-20.

7) Burney RO, Giudice LC. **Pathogenesis and pathophysiology of endometriosis.** Fertil Steril. 2012 Sep;98(3):511-9.

8) Leone Roberti Maggiore U, Gupta JK, Ferrero S. **Treatment of endometrioma for improving fertility.** Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2017 Feb;209:81-85.

9) Hughesdon PE. **The structure of endometrial cysts of the ovary.** J Obstet Gynaecol 326 Br Emp 1957;64:481–7.

10) Donnez J, Nisolle M, Gillet N, Smets M, Bassil S, Casanas-Roux F. Large ovarian endometriomas. 330 Hum Reprod 1996;11:641–6.

11) Nezhat F, Nezhat C, Allan CJ, Metzger DA, Sears DL. Clinical and histologic classification 332 of endometriomas. Implications for a mechanism of pathogenesis. 333 J Reprod Med 1992;37:771–6.

12) De Franciscis P, Guadagno M, Miraglia N, D'Eufemia D, Schiattarella A, Labriola D, et al. Follicular PB levels in women attending in vitro fertilization: role of endometriosis on the outcome. Ital J Gynaecol Obstet 2018; 30: 21–27.

13) D'Hooghe TM, Debrock S, Hill JA, Meuleman C.

Endometriosis and subfertility: is the relationship resolved? Semin Reprod Med. 2003;21:243–54.

14) Harb HM, Gallos ID, Chu J, Harb M, Coomarasamy A. The effect of endometriosis on in vitro fertilization outcome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BJOG. 2013; 120:1308–20.

15) Coelho Neto MA, Martins Wde P, Luz CM, Jianini BT, Ferriani RA, Navarro PA. Endometriosis, ovarian reserve and live birth rate following in vitro fertilization/ intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2016;38:218–24.

16) Guerriero S, Condous G, van den Bosch T, Valentin L, Leone FP, Van Schoubroeck D, et al. **Systematic approach to sonographic evaluation of the pelvis in women with suspected endometriosis, including terms, definitions and measurements: a consensus opinion from the International Deep Endometriosis Analysis** (IDEA) group. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2016;48:318–32.

17) Siciliano RA, Mazzeo MF, Spada V, Facchiano A, d'Acierno A, Stocchero M, De Franciscis P, Colacurci N, Sannolo N, Miraglia N. **Rapid peptidomic profiling of peritoneal fluid by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry for the identification of biomarkers of endometriosis.** Gynecol Endocrinol. 2014;30(12):872-6.

18) Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of endometriosis. Busacca M, Candiani M, Chiàntera V, Coccia ME, De Stefano C, Di Giovanni A et al. Ital J Gynaecol Obstet. 2018; 30:7-21.

19) Shahrokh Tehraninezhad E, Mehrabi F, Taati R, Kalantar V, Aziminekoo E, Tarafdari A. **Analysis of ovarian reserve markers (AMH, FSH, AFC) in different age strata in IVF/ICSI patients.** Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd). 2016 Aug;14(8):501-6.

20) Colacurci N, Caprio F, La Verde E, Trotta C, Ianniello R, Mele D, De Franciscis P. **Sequential protocol with urinary-FSH/recombinant-FSH versus standard protocol with recombinant-FSH in women of advanced age undergoing IVF.** Gynecol Endocrinol. 2014 Oct;30(10):730-3.

21) Alborzi S, Keramati P, Younesi M, Samsami A, Dadras N. **The impact of laparoscopic cystectomy on ovarian reserve in patients with unilateral and bilateral endometriomas.** Fertil Steril. 2014;101:427–34.

22) Biacchiardi CP, Piane LD, Camanni M, Deltetto F, Delpiano EM, Marchino GL, et al. Laparoscopic stripping of endometriomas negatively affects ovarian follicular

reserve even if performed by experienced surgeons. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011;23:740–6.

23) Lee DY, Young Kim N, Jae Kim M, Yoon BK, Choi D. Effects of laparoscopic surgery on serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels in reproductive-aged women with endometrioma. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2011; 27:733–6.

24) Chen Y, Pei H, Chang Y, Chen M, Wang H, Xie H, et al. The impact of endometrioma and laparoscopic cystectomy on ovarian reserve and the exploration of related factors assessed by serum anti-Mullerian hormone: a prospective cohort study. J Ovarian Res. 2014;7:108.

25) Somigliana E, Berlanda N, Benaglia L, Vigano P, Vercellini P, Fedele L. Surgical excision of endometriomas and ovarian reserve: a systematic review on serum antimullerian hormone level modifications. Fertil Steril. 2012;98:1531–8.

26) Ata B, Turkgeldi E, Seyhan A, Urman B. Effect of hemostatic method on ovarian reserve following laparoscopic endometrioma excision; comparison of suture, hemostatic sealant, and bipolar dessication. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2015;22:363–72.

27) Cranney R, Condous G, Reid S. An update on the diagnosis, surgical management, and fertility outcomes for women with endometrioma. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2017 Jun;96(6):633-643.

28) Zhang CH, Wu L, Li PQ. **Clinical study of the impact on ovarian reserve by different hemostasis methods in laparoscopic cystectomy for ovarian endometrioma.** Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2016 Aug;55(4):507-11.

29) Deckers P, Ribeiro SC, Simões RDS, Miyahara CBDF, Baracat EC. **Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of bipolar electrocoagulation during laparoscopic ovarian endometrioma stripping on ovarian reserve.** Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2018 Jan;140(1):11-17.

30) Muzii L, Achilli C, Bergamini V, Candiani M, Garavaglia E, Lazzeri L, et al. Comparison between the stripping technique and the combined excisional/ablative technique for the treatment of bilateral ovarian endometriomas: a multicentre RCT. Hum Reprod. 2016;31:339–44.

31) Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet. 2007 Oct 20;370(9596):1453-7.

32) Barri PN, Coroleu B, Tur R, Barri-Soldevila PN, Rodriguez I. Endometriosis-associated infertility: surgery and IVF, a comprehensive therapeutic approach. Reprod Biomed Online. 2010;21:179–85.

33) Simonelli A, Guadagni R, De Franciscis P, Colacurci N, Pieri M, Basilicata P, Pedata P, Lamberti M, Sannolo N, Miraglia N. Environmental and occupational exposure to bisphenol A and endometriosis: urinary and peritoneal fluid concentration levels. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2017 Jan;90(1):49-61.

34) Vetvicka V, Laganà AS, Salmeri FM, Triolo O, Palmara VI, Vitale SG et al. **Regulation of apoptotic pathways during endometriosis: from the molecular basis to the future perspectives.** Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2016 Nov;294(5):897-904.

D. Mele et al.

35) Uncu G, Kasapoglu I, Ozerkan K, Seyhan A, Oral Yilmaztepe A, Ata B. **Prospective assessment of the impact of endometriomas and their removal on ovarian reserve and determinants of the rate of decline in ovarian reserve.** Hum Reprod. 2013;28:2140–5.

36) Chen Y, Pei H, Chang Y, Chen M, Wang H, Xie H, et al. The impact of endometrioma and laparoscopic cystectomy on ovarian reserve and the exploration of related factors assessed by serum anti-Mullerian hormone: a prospective cohort study. J Ovarian Res. 2014;7:108.

37) Wang T, Zhang M, Jiang Z, Seli E. **Mitochondrial dysfunction and ovarian aging. Am J Reprod Immunol.** 2017 May;77(5).

38) Schiattarella A, Colacurci N, Morlando M, Ammaturo F Pietro, Genovese G, Miraglia N, et al. **Plasma and urinary levels of lead and cadmium in patients with endometriosis.** Ital J Gynaecol Obstet 2018; 30:47–52.

39) Pertynska-Marczewska M, Diamanti-Kandarakis E. Aging ovary and the role for advanced glycation end products. Menopause. 2017 Mar;24(3):345-351.

40) Kagabu S, Umezu M. **Ovarian follicular development in the unilateral ovariectomized rat.** Reprod Med Biol. 2005 Mar 7;4(1):89-92.

41) Tsutsui T, Hori T, Takahashi F, Concannon PW. Ovulation compensatory function after unilateral ovariectomy in dogs. Reprod Domest Anim. 2012 Dec;47 Suppl 6:43-6.

42) Marie-Scemama L, Even M, De La Joliniere JB, Ayoubi JM. Endometriosis and the menopause: why the question merits our full attention. Horm Mol Biol Clin Investig. 2019 Mar 26.

43) Gordts S, Campo R. **Modern approaches to surgical management of endometrioma.** Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2019 Jan 5.

44) Küçükbaş M, Kurek Eken M, İlhan G, Şenol T, Herkiloğlu D, Kapudere B. Which factors are associated with the recurrence of endometrioma after cystectomy? J Obstet Gynaecol. 2018 Apr;38(3):372-376.

45) Lee SY, Kim ML, Seong SJ, Bae JW, Cho YJ. **Recurrence** of Ovarian Endometrioma in Adolescents after Conservative, Laparoscopic Cyst Enucleation. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2017 Apr;30(2):228-233. Jan 5.

46) Beretta P, Franchi M, Ghezzi F, Busacca M, Zupi E, Bolis P. Randomized clinical trial of two laparoscopic treatments of endometriomas: cystectomy versus drainage and coagulation. Fertil Steril. 1998;70:1176–80.

47) De Franciscis P, Cobellis L, Fornaro F, Sepe E, Torella M, Colacurci N. **Low-dose hormone therapy in the perimenopause.** Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2007 Aug;98(2):138-42.

48) Hart RJ, Hickey M, Maouris P, Buckett W. Excisional surgery versus ablative surgery for ovarian endometriomata. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008;2:CD004992.

49) Urman B. **Pearls and pitfalls in surgery for endometrioma.** Womens Health (Lond). 2015 Aug;11(5):677-83.

50) Marcellin L, Santulli P, Bourdon M, Comte C, Maignien C, Just PA et al. **Serum antimüllerian hormone concentration increases with ovarian endometrioma size.** Fertil Steril. 2019 Mar 13.